Marco Gonzalez (SBN #190832) 1 Livia Borak (SBN #259434) **COAST LAW GROUP LLP** 1140 South Coast Highway 101 Encinitas, California 92024 Tel: 760.942.8505 Fax: 760.942.8515 Email: marco@coastlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 COASTAL ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS Civil Case No. 3:16-cv-01572-GPC-DHB 12 FOUNDATION, a California non-profit [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE corporation, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. (Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 15 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq.) COMPUCRAFT INDUSTRIES, Inc., a California 16 corporation; 17 Defendant, 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Civil Case No. 3:16-cv-01572-GPC-DHB Consent Decree

2 3 4

Consent Decree

The following Consent Decree is entered into by and between Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation ("CERF" or "Plaintiff") and Compucraft Industries, Inc. ("Compucraft"). The entities entering into this Consent Decree are each an individual "Settling Party" and collectively the "Settling Parties."

WHEREAS, CERF is a non-profit organization founded by surfers in North San Diego County and active throughout California's coastal communities;

WHEREAS, CERF was established to aggressively advocate, including through litigation, for the protection and enhancement of coastal natural resources and the quality of life for coastal residents, and one of CERF's primary areas of advocacy is water quality protection and enhancement;

WHEREAS, Compucraft, Inc. is the owner and operator of metal fabrication facility located at 8787 Olive Lane, Santee, California 92071 "Compucraft Facility";

WHEREAS, Plaintiff's members live and/or recreate in and around waters which Plaintiff's members allege receive discharges from the Compucraft Facility, including specifically Forester Creek, the San Diego River, and eventually the Pacific Ocean;

WHEREAS, the discharges from the (Compucraft Facility are regulated by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") General Permit No. CAS000001 [State Water Resources Control Board] Water Quality Order No. 92-12-DWQ, as amended by Order No. 97-03-DWQ ("1997 Storm Water Permit"), and as amended by Order No. 2014-0057-DWQ ("2014 Storm Water Permit"), and the Federal Water Pollution (Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA");

WHEREAS, on August 21, 2015, Planti ff sent Compucraft, the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), EPA Region IX, he State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board"), and the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Regional Board") a notice of intent to file suit ("First Notice Letter") under Sections 505a) and (b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a) and (b). The First Notice Letter alleged violations of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and violations of the 1997 Storm Water P'ermit at the Compucraft Facility;

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2016, Plainiff sent Compucraft, the United States EPA, Region IX,

the State Board, and the San Diego Regional Board a second notice of intent to file suit ("Second Notice Letter") under Sections 505(a) and (b) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365(a) and (b). The Second Notice Letter alleged additional violations of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and violations of the 1997 Storm Water Permit at the Compucraft Facility;

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2016 Plaintiff filed a complaint against Compucraft in the United States District Court, Southern District of California (Case No. 16-cv-01572-GPC-DHB), alleging violations of Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and violations of the Storm Water Permit at the Compucraft Facility ("Complaint");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff served Defendant with the Complaint on June 23, 2016 and Defendant Compucraft failed to appear or otherwise respond to the complaint within the time prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

WHEREAS, on August 16, 2016, on request of counsel for Plaintiff, the Clerk of Court entered a default against Compucraft (the "Default");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges Compucraft to be in violation of the substantive and procedural requirements of the 1997 Storm Water Permit, the 2014 Storm Water Permit, and the Clean Water Act with respect to the Compucraft Facility;

WHEREAS, Compucraft denies all allegations in the Notice Letters and Complaint relating to the Compucraft Facility;

WHEREAS, In spite of the Default, Plaintiff and Compucraft have agreed that it is in the Settling Parties' mutual interest to enter into a Consent Decree setting forth terms and conditions appropriate to resolving the allegations set forth in the Complaint without further proceedings;

WHEREAS, all actions taken by Compucraft pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be made in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws and local rules and regulations.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE SETTLING PARTIES AND ORDERED AND DECREED BY THE COURT AS FOLLOWS:

- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 505(a) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a);
 - 2. Venue is appropriate in the Southern District of California pursuant to Section 505(c)(1)

of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because the COMPUCRAFT Facility is located within this District;

- 3. The Complaint states claims upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Section 505(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1);
- 4. Plaintiff has standing to bring this action on behalf of themselves and the People of the United States:
- 5. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree for the life of the Consent Decree, or as long thereafter as is necessary for the Court to resolve any motion to enforce this Consent Decree.

I. OBJECTIVES

It is the express purpose of the Settling Parties entering into this Consent Decree to further the objectives set forth in the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq., and to resolve those issues alleged by Plaintiff in its Complaint. In light of these objectives and as set forth fully below, Compucraft agrees to comply with the provisions of this Consent Decree and to comply with the requirements of the No Exposure Certification Conditional Exclusion Provisions of the 2014 Storm Water Permit and all applicable provisions of the Clean Water Act..

II. AGENCY REVIEW AND TERM OF CONSENT DECREE

- A. Plaintiff shall submit this Consent Decree to the United States Department of Justice and the EPA (collectively "Federal Agencies") within three (3) days of the final signature of the Settling Parties for agency review consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 135.5. The agency review period expires forty-five (45) days after receipt by both agencies, as evidenced by written acknowledgement of receipt by the agencies or the certified return receipts, copies of which shall be provided to Compucraft if requested. In the event that the Federal Agencies object to entry of this Consent Decree, the Settling Parties agree to meet and confer to attempt to resolve the issue(s) raised by the Federal Agencies within a reasonable amount of time.
- B. The term "Effective Date" as used in this Consent Decree shall mean the day the Court enters this Consent Decree.
- C. This Consent Decree shall terminate three (3) years after the Effective Date ("Termination

Date"), unless there is a prior ongoing, unresolved dispute regarding Compucraft's compliance with this Consent Decree.

D. Plaintiff may conduct an inspection of the Compucraft Facility up to forty-five (45) days prior to the Termination Date. The inspection shall be conducted according to the rules applicable to annual site inspections described below.

III. PERMIT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

A. No Exposure Certification Coverage

- 1. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Compucraft shall register for a No Exposure Certification ("NEC") for conditional exclusion from certain provisions of the 2014 Storm Water Permit as specified in Section XVII of the Permit. In so doing, Compucraft will certify that:
- (a) There is no exposure of Industrial Materials and Activities¹ to rain, snow, snowmelt (collectively, "Precipitation") and/or runoff at the Compucraft Facility;
- (b) All unauthorized Non-Storm Water Discharges ("NSWDs") have been eliminated and all authorized NSWDs meet the conditions specified in the Permit;
- (c) Compucraft has certified and submitted via the California Storm Water Multiple

 Application and Report Tracking System ("SMARTS") Project Registration Documents

 ("PRDs") for NEC coverage, including:
 - (i) The NEC form;
 - (ii) An NEC Checklist prepared by the Discharger demonstrating that the facility has been evaluated; and that none of the following Industrial Materials or Activities are, or will be in the foreseeable future, exposed to precipitation:
 - Using, storing or cleaning industrial machinery or equipment, and areas where residuals from using, storing or cleaning industrial machinery or equipment remain and are exposed;
 - 2) Materials or residuals on the ground or in storm water inlets from spills/leaks;

Consent Decree

Civil Case No. 3:15-cv-02706-W-DHB

¹ As defined in the 2014 Storm Water Permit, "Industrial Materials and Activities" includes, but is not limited to, industrial material handling activities or equipment, machinery, raw materials, intermediate products, by-products, final products, and waste products. (2014 Storm Water Permit, §XVII.B.2.).

- 3) Materials or products from past industrial activity;
- 4) Material handling equipment (except adequately maintained vehicles);
- 5) Materials or products during loading/unloading or transporting activities;
- 6) Materials or products stored outdoors (except final products intended for outside use, e.g., new cars, where exposure to storm water does not result in the discharge of pollutants);
- 7) Materials contained in open, deteriorated or leaking storage drums, barrels, tanks, and similar containers;
- 8) Materials or products handled/stored on roads or railways owned or maintained by the Discharger;
- Waste materials (except waste in covered, non-leaking containers, e.g., dumpsters);
- 10) Application or disposal of processed wastewater (unless already covered by an NPDES permit); and,
- 11) Particulate matter or visible deposits for residuals from roof stacks/vents evident in the storm water outflow.
- (iii) A Site Map.
- (d) Compucraft satisfies all other requirements in Section III.B of the Permit.
- 2. Compucraft will submit copies of all NEC coverage registration documents to Plaintiff within thirty (30) days of filing.

B. NEC Coverage Obligations

While registered for NEC coverage, Compucraft shall:

- 1. Inspect and evaluate the facility annually to determine that storm water exposed to industrial materials or equipment has not and will not be discharged to waters of the United States. Evaluation records shall be maintained for five (5) years in accordance with the 2014 Storm Water Permit;
- 2. Register for NEC coverage by certifying that there are no discharges of storm water contaminated by exposure to Industrial Materials and Activities from areas of the facility subject

to the 2014 General Storm Water Permit, and certify that all unauthorized NSWDs have been eliminated and all authorized NSWDs meet the conditions of Section IV (Authorized NSWDs). NEC coverage and annual renewal requires payment of an annual fee in accordance with 23 C.C.R. § 2200 et seq.;

- 3. Prepare and submit PRDs for NEC coverage in accordance with the applicable certification requirements and submittal schedule of the 2014 Storm Water Permit; and,
- 4. If applicable, Compucraft will provide a Storm-Resistant Shelter to protect Industrial Materials and Activities from exposure to Precipitation, run on and/or runoff;

C. Additional Obligations

- 1. Compucraft shall inspect and evaluate the facility quarterly to determine that storm water exposed to industrial materials or equipment has not and will not be discharged to waters of the United States. Evaluation records of these quarterly inspection shall be provided to Plaintiff within thirty (30) days of completion. Compucraft has no obligation to upload any quarterly reports required by this section to SMARTS.
- 2. One instance of the quarterly inspection and evaluation requirement required by III.C.1 may be satisfied through completion of the annual inspection and evaluation required under Section IV, and submittal to Plaintiff within thirty days (30) days of completion.

D. Continuing NEC Coverage

By October 1 of each reporting year beginning in 2017, Compucraft shall either submit and certify an NEC demonstrating that the facility has been evaluated, and that none of the Industrial Materials or Activities listed above are, or will be in the foreseeable future, exposed to precipitation, or apply for coverage by submitting a Notice of Intent.

IV. MONITORING AND REPORTING

A. Site Inspections.

1. Every year during the life of this Consent Decree, up to three (3) of Plaintiff's representatives may conduct one (1) Wet Season site inspection and one (1) Dry Season (June 1 – September 30) site inspection of the Compucraft Facility. The site inspections shall occur during normal business hours. Plaintiff shall provide Compucraft and Compucraft's counsel of

record with twenty-four (24) hours' notice (weekends and holidays excluded) prior to each Wet Season site inspection, and forty-eight (48) hours' notice (weekends and holidays excluded) prior to each Dry Season site inspection. Notice shall be provided by telephone and electronic mail, and shall state the names of all persons that Plaintiff will bring to the inspection.

- 2. During the site inspections, Plaintiff and its designated representatives shall be allowed access to the Facility's NEC documentation, and all other monitoring records, reports, and sampling data for the Compucraft Facility.
- 3. During the site inspections, Plaintiff and its designated representatives may collect storm water samples at the Compucraft Facility.
- B. Compliance Monitoring and Oversight. Compucraft shall pay a total of \$5,000 to compensate Plaintiff for costs and fees to be incurred for monitoring Compucraft compliance with this Consent Decree. Payment shall be made within five (5) business days of the Effective Date payable to "Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation" via U.S. Mail, delivered to Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation, 1140 South Coast Highway 101, Encinitas, CA 92024.
- C. Compucraft Document Provision. During the life of this Consent Decree, Compucraft shall copy Plaintiff on all documents related to storm water quality at the Compucraft Facility that are submitted to the Regional Board, the State Board, and/or any state or local agency, county, or municipality. Compucraft shall also provide Plaintiff a copy of any storm water compliance inspection reports which result from inspections conducted by any municipality or the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Such reports and documents shall be provided to Plaintiff on the date they are sent to the agencies, counties, and/or municipalities. Any inspection reports or correspondence related to Compucraft's compliance with the Permit or storm water quality received by Compucraft from any regulatory agency, state or local agency, county, or municipality shall be provided to Plaintiff within ten (10) days of receipt by Compucraft. Provided, however, that this Consent Decree shall not require Compucraft to require Compucraft to disclose any information subject to the provisions of the Homeland Security Act and all other federal law that concerns security in the United States, as applicable. Nor shall Compucraft be required to disclose any information or documents subject to the Attorney Client Privilege or the Attorney Work Product doctrine.

V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT, REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION FEES AND COSTS, AND STIPULATED PAYMENT PROVISIONS

- A. Environmental Project. To remediate the alleged environmental harms resulting from non-compliance with the Storm Water Permit alleged in the Complaint, Compucraft agrees to make a payment of \$5,000 to the San Diego Coastkeeper to fund environmental project activities that will reduce or mitigate the impacts of storm water pollution from industrial activities on the Southern California Bight and its tributaries. The payments shall be made within five (5) days of the Effective Date payable to San Diego Coastkeeper, Attn: Matt O'Malley 2825 Dewey Rd, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92117 via overnight delivery. Compucraft shall provide Plaintiff with a copy of such payment.
- B. Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Compucraft shall pay a total of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00) to Coast Law Group to fully reimburse CERF for its investigation fees and costs, expert/consultant fees and costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred as a result of investigating and preparing the lawsuit and negotiating this Consent Decree. Ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) previously paid as a deposit to Coast Law Group shall count towards the total \$15,000.00 owed. Payment of the remaining \$5,000.00 shall be made to "Coast Law Group, LLP" and delivered to Coast Law Group, LLP, Attn: Livia Borak, 1140 South Coast Highway 101, Encinitas CA, 92024 within five (5) business days of the Effective Date.
- C. Stipulated Payment. Compucraft shall make a remediation payment of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) for each missed deadline included in this Consent Decree. Compucraft agrees to make the stipulated payment within thirty (30) days of a missed Deadline. The payments shall be made to San Diego Coastkeeper, and mailed to San Diego Coastkeeper, Attn: Matt O'Malley 2825 Dewey Rd, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92117 via overnight delivery. Compucraft shall provide Plaintiff with a copy of each such payment at the time it is made.

VI. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

A. Continuing Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until the Termination Date defined above for the purposes of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and adjudicating all disputes among the Settling Parties that may arise under the provisions of this Consent Decree, unless a Party files and is granted a timely motion

8

9

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25 26

27

28

Consent Decree

requesting an extension of time for the Court to retain jurisdiction. The Court shall have the power to enforce this Consent Decree with all available legal and equitable remedies, including contempt.

- B. Meet and Confer. A party to this Consent Decree shall invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Section by notifying all other Settling Parties in writing of the matter(s) in dispute. The Settling Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith (either telephonically or in person) in an attempt to resolve the dispute informally over a period of ten (10) business days from the date of the notice. The Settling Parties may elect to extend this time in an effort to resolve the dispute without court intervention.
- C. Dispute Resolution. If the Settling Parties cannot resolve a dispute by the end of meet and confer informal negotiations, the party initiating the dispute resolution provision may invoke formal dispute resolution by filing a motion before the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The Settling Parties agree to request an expedited hearing schedule on the motion if requested by any Settling Party.
 - Burden of Proof. In any dispute resolution proceeding, Defendant shall have the burden 1. of demonstrating it meets the requirements for NEC coverage as defined by the 2014 Storm Water Permit and implementing regulations and are adequate to ensure Defendant's discharges do not cause or contribute to a violation of water quality standards.
 - 2. Enforcement Fees and Costs. Litigation costs and fees incurred in conducting a meet and confer session(s) or otherwise address ing and/or resolving any dispute, including an alleged breach of this Consent Decree, shall be awarded to the prevailing party in accordance with the standard established by § 505 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1365 and 1319, and case law interpreting that standard.

MUTUAL RELEASE OF LIABILITY AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE VII.

Plaintiff's Release. Upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Plaintiff, on its own behalf and on behalf of its current and former officers, directors, employees, and each of its successors and assigns, and its agents, and other representatives release all persons including, without limitation, Compucraft (and each of its direct and indirect p arent and subsidiary companies and affiliates, and its respective current and former officers, directors, members, employees, shareholders, and each of its

4

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

15

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of their agents, attorneys, consultants, and other representatives) from and waive all claims alleged in the Notice Letters and Complaint up to the Effective Date of this Consent Decree.

- Parties' Release. Unless specifically provided for in this Consent Decree, the Parties, on their B. own behalf and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, employees, and each of their successors and assigns, and their agents, and other representatives release all persons including, without limitation, all other Parties to this Consent Decree (and each of their direct and indirect parent and subsidiary companies and affiliates, and their respective current and former officers, directors, members, employees, shareholders, and each of their predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of their agents, attorneys, consultants, and other representatives) from any additional attorney's fees or expenses related to the resolution of this matter.
- Nothing in this Consent Decree limits or otherwise affects any Party's right to address or take C. any position that it deems necessary or appropriate in any formal or informal proceeding before the State Board, Regional Board, EPA, or any other administrative body on any other matter relating to Compucraft's compliance with the 2014 Storm Water Permit or the Clean Water Act occurring or arising after the effective date of this Consent Dec ree.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- No Admission of Liability. Neither this Consent Decree, the implementation of additional BMPs, nor any payment pursuant to the Consent: Decree shall constitute or be construed as a finding, adjudication, admission, or acknowledgment of arry fact, law, or liability, nor shall it be construed as an admission of violation of any law, rule, or regulation. Compucraft maintains and reserves all defenses they may have to any alleged violations that may the raised in the future.
- B. Construction. The language in all parts of this Consent Decree shall be construed according to its plain and ordinary meaning, except as to tione terms defined in the 2014 Storm Water Permit, the Clean Water Act, or specifically herein.
- Choice of Law. The laws of the United States shall govern this Consent Decree. C.
- Severability. In the event that any provision, paragraph, section, or sentence of this Consent Decree is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be

	adverse	ly	affected
١		_	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 | E. Correspondence. Unless specifically provided for in this Consent Decree, all notices required herein or any other correspondence pertaining to this Consent Decree shall be sent by U.S. mail or electronic mail as follows:

If to Plaintiff:

Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation Attn: Sara Kent 1140 South Coast Highway 101 Encinitas, CA 92024 Email: sara@cerf.org

With Copy to:

Coast Law Group LLP Attn: Livia Borak 1140 South Coast Hwy 101 Encinitas, CA 92024 Email: livia@coastlawgroup.com

If to COMPUCRAFT:

Compucraft Industries, Inc. Attn: Maurice Brear 8787 Olive Lane Santee, CA 92071 Email: mbrear@ccind.com

With Copy to:

Opper & Varco LLP Attn: S. Wayne Rosenbaum, Esq. 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Email: swr@envirolawyer.com

Notifications of communications shall be deemed submitted three (3) business days after having been sent via U.S. mail or the day of sending notification or communication by electronic mail. Any change of address or addresses shall be communicated in the manner described above for giving notices.

Effect of Consent Decree. Except as provided herein, Plaintiff does not, by its consent to this Consent Decree, warrant or aver in any manner that Compucraft's compliance with this Consent Decree will constitute or result in compliance with any federal or state law or regulation. Nothing in this

Consent Decree shall be construed to affect or limit in any way the obligation of Compucraft to comply with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing any activity required by this Consent Decree.

- G. Counterparts. This Consent Decree may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one original document. Telecopy, email of a .pdf signature, or facsimile copies of original signature shall be deemed to be originally executed counterparts of this Consent Decree.
- H. Modification of the Consent Decree. This Consent Decree, and any provisions herein, may not be changed, waived, discharged, or terminated unless by a written instrument, signed by the Settling Parties. If any Settling Party wishes to modify any provision of this Consent Decree, the Settling Party must notify the other Settling Party in writing at least twenty-one (21) days prior to taking any step to implement the proposed change.
- I. Full Settlement. This Consent Decree constitutes a full and final settlement of this matter.
- J. Integration Clause. This is an integrated Consent Decree. This Consent Decree is intended to be a full and complete statement of the terms of the agreement between the Settling Parties and expressly supersedes any and all prior oral or written agreements, covenants, representations, and warranties (express or implied) concerning the subject matter of this Consent Decree.
- K. Authority of Counsel. The undersigned representatives for Plaintiff and Compucraft each certify that he/she is fully authorized by the part; whom he/she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree.
- L. Authority of Parties. The Settling Parties certify that their undersigned representatives are fully authorized to enter into this Consent Decree, toex ecute it on behalf of the Settling Parties, and to legally bind the Settling Parties to its terms.
- M. The Settling Parties, including any successors or assigns, agree to be bound by this Consent Decree and not to contest its validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Consent Decree as of the date first set forth below.

1	APPROVED AS TO CONTENT		
2			
3			al IKOM
4	Dated: 11 1 2016	Ву:_	Jan. Cur
5		Nam Title	Programs Director
6			Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation
7	Dated:	D	
8	Dated:	. Ву: _	Maurice Brear
9			President/CEO Compucraft Industries, Inc.
10			
11			
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM		
13	Dated: 11/1/20/6	Ву: _	d be
14			Livia Borak Coast Law Group LLP
15			Attorneys for CERF
16			
17			201
18	Dated: November 1, 2016	Ву: _	> with tout
19			S. Wayne Rosenbaum Opper & Varco, LLP
20			Attorney for Compucraft Industries, Inc.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22			
23	Date:		
24	Date.		Judge Gonzalo P. Curiel
25			United States District Court Judge Southern District of California
26			
27			
28			
	Consent Decree	14	Civil Case No. 3:15-cv-02706-W-DHB

1	APPROVED AS TO CONTENT		
2	AFFROVED AS TO CONTENT		
3			
	Details	D.	
4	Dated:	Nam	e:
5		Title	Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation
6			Coastal Environmental Rights Foundation
7	Dated: 11-1 - 2016	Bv:	Man Pr
8		-/-	Maurice Brear
9			President/CEO Compucraft Industries, Inc.
10			
11			
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM		
13	Dated:	By:	
14		-7	Livia Borak
15			Coast Law Group LLP Attorneys for CERF
16			
17			
18			
19	Dated:	Ву:	S. Wayne Rosenbaum
			Opper & Varco, LLP
20			Attorney for Compucraft Industries, Inc.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	_ 1	1	
23	Date:		
24			Judge Gonzalo P. Curiel United States District Court Judge
25			Southern District of California
26			
27			
28			
	Consent Decree	14	Civil Case No. 3:15-cv-02706-W-DHB